

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,909	06/28/2006	Takashi Kumabe	NIS-16743	2102
40854 7590 08/05/2009 RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP 38210 Glenn Avenue			EXAMINER	
			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A	
WILLOUGHB	Y, OH 44094-7808		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/596,909	KUMABÈ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO	3653			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	lay 2009.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,8 and 10-12</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🛄 Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/09</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al (US 2001/0023809 A1) in view of Schwartz (US 5,947,257) and further in view of Bell (US 5,433,310).

Regarding Claims 1 and 2, Ishida discloses a coin validator (100) in a vending machine, as illustrated in figure 1 and mentioned at paragraph 4, in which coins are placed in coin holders in the form of coin tubes (5a-5e).

Ishida does not expressly disclose, but Schwartz discloses a coin holding portion (32-38), which holds coins in a row, said coins held in electrical connection in series,

Art Unit: 3653

with a first electrode (78) and a second electrode (78), the first electrode being the first, top element (78), the second electrode being the bottom element (78), as illustrated in figure 3.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added a coin tube stack detection and valuation device, as taught by Schwatz, in Ishida's device, for the purpose of automatically counting the number of coins in a stack. Such a stack is considered to be a row.

Further regarding Claim 2, note that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have added or removed electrodes depending upon the size of the row, and thus the value of coins desired to be counted.

Ishida discloses the device described above.

Ishida does not expressly disclose, but Bell discloses using the value of the electric current to determine whether or not a coin is genuine or not. Bell discloses sending electric current in the form of an electromagnetic field into a coin and the data of the received electrical signal is compared to data stored in memory, after which, a determination as to validity is made based upon how well the electrical signal detected matches the stored signal criteria. See Bell, abstract and figures 4-8 and col. 9, lines 57-62, in which it is stated that brass coins have a conductivity that is higher than silver alloy coins.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the signal generated by the electrical signal as it flows through the

Art Unit: 3653

stack/row and compare the signal to a reference signal, as taught by Bell, in Ishida's device, for the purpose of determining the genuineness/validity of the coins in a stack.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 4, 5, 8 and 10-12 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose, suggest or teach the coin collecting device having a coin holding structure and coin insertion completion determining means in which coins held in a row in series, i.e., with one edge touching an electrode of a first coin, the second edge of the first coin touching an edge of another coin, and so on, to complete a set of coins held in a row, and the edge of the end of the last coin touching a second electrode. The electrodes sense electricity sent through the entire row of coins thus determining the amount and value of the coins in the row. A row is not considered to be a column of coins, i.e., a stack of coins one face on another.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3653

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO whose telephone number is (571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey A. Shapiro/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3653

August 3, 2009